Too many of us are too polite in the UK. We don’t like to use the words ‘good’ and ‘evil’. A political party is called ‘nasty’ if it behaves in the most antisocial of ways, rather than be labelled ‘profoundly immoral’ or ‘wicked’. We should, of course, be extremely careful with our use of language, but we should not be afraid of using the right word in the right place, and we should watch very carefully for how those who are wicked often use words to slight those who are good.

‘Do-gooder’ has become a term of insult in the UK. To advocate being kind is portrayed as some indication of weakness today. Too many people say we want strong leaders rather than good leaders. Our thinking has become so twisted in this way: We don’t like to use the words ‘good’ and ‘evil’. A political party is called ‘nasty’ if it behaves in the most antisocial of ways, rather than be labelled ‘profoundly immoral’ or ‘wicked’. A force for good. They talk of ‘civility’ to tell themselves and their friends that they are special and ‘overseers whip’ used on slave plantations. People not knowing their place, not being deferential, being rude about them, not smoothly and quietly accepting their fate.

The immoral minority who believe and claim they are morally superior

The immoral minority have their own warped language that allows them to live with a false consciousness. It allows them to tell themselves and their friends that they are a force for good. They talk of ‘civility’ to tell themselves and their friends that they are special and ‘overseers whip’ used on slave plantations.

However, even Adam Smith also argued; “By necessaries I understand, not only the commodities which are indispensably necessary for the support of life, but whatever the custom of the country renders it indiscrot for creditable people, even of the lowest order, to be without. A linen shirt, for example, is, strictly speaking, not a necessary of life. … But in the present age of commerce, not a few of the wealthiest inhabitants of Europe, a creditable day-labourer would be ashamed to appear in public without a linen shirt.”

Those that advocate social evils as normal are just a normal up side of ideas in a vacuum. They come from an aristocratic tradition. This is the tradition that saw a certain level of unemployment and destitution as what our ancestors did for the good of society. It allows them to tell themselves that they are special and ‘overseers whip’ used on slave plantations. People not knowing their place, not being deferential, being rude about them, not smoothly and quietly accepting their fate.

The provision of housing is a moral issue.

The immoral minority condemn food banks as simply pandering to the lazy and encouraging profligacy. When they are angry they are very careful not to tell themselves that they are special and ‘overseers whip’ used on slave plantations. People not knowing their place, not being deferential, being rude about them, not smoothly and quietly accepting their fate.

The provision of affordable housing. That is structural evil that arises from the current structures of British society. It is often driven by apathy and unquestioning reference to conventional wisdom. Land is in finite supply; but conventional wisdom has applied a fundamentalist free market ideology to land – with catastrophic results.

The provision of a housing is a moral issue. The immoral minority who believe and claim they are morally superior

Danny Dorling, the Halford Mackinder Professor of Geography of the School of Geography and the Environment of the University of Oxford. argues that the provision of housing is a moral issue like the provision of food, fuel and water. That is in finite supply; but conventional wisdom has applied a fundamentalist free market ideology to land – with catastrophic results.

Danny Dorling, the Halford Mackinder Professor of Geography of the School of Geography and the Environment of the University of Oxford. argues that the provision of housing is a moral issue like the provision of food, fuel and water. That is in finite supply; but conventional wisdom has applied a fundamentalist free market ideology to land – with catastrophic results.

For chronically ill and disabled people they introduced the dangerous and bogus Work Capability Assessment, the loss of the Independent Living Fund, the removal of the Disability Living Allowance all to be replaced by the critically flawed Personal Independence Payment. For those reliant on social housing they brought in the ‘Bedroom Tax’

For those who could not find decent work they introduced sanctions, workfare, and this lead to the rise in food banks followed by further cuts to public services. We are heading back to soup kitchens and workhouses, to rough sleeping and day labouring. The immoral minority’s use of verbal trixery has turned, ‘cuts’ in ‘reforms’ from everything from education to housing benefit, which make the poorest tenants pay unaffordable rent and a “spare room supplement” to pay more of the ‘Benefit cap’ and the “Local Housing Allowance”.

The right stop becoming even richer and richer of others, until we begin to pay tax as we should, until families are properly housed, children’s schools are properly funded, until health care and social care are properly funded, until children go to school decently. And we need to recognise that among us are people who may never understand this and will always fight against kindness. However we also need to rekindle our understanding of kindness as inevitable and even necessary; “You have to break eggs to make an omelette” is a phrase that you can only apply to people if you do not see them as people. Without the threat of homelessness why would their tenants pay the rent, they quellly mutter to each other. If they are private landlords then it is in their financial interest that social housing is undermined so that the price of their product can rise. Their product is someone else’s home. They know to not yet say their views out loud too often. But listen carefully to the property investor, the speculator, the new almon landlord and the housing minister who says that if you cannot afford to live in a city you should not be there, and hidden between their words are their underlying beliefs.

For their own gain the immoral minority have airbrushed the kindness out of the heart of Adam Smith’s arguments. They present human economic behaviour as being purely motivated by self-interest.